UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,109	04/08/2004	Michael Cafaro	HEL177/4-010US	8231
21586 VINSON & EL	7590 04/20/201 KINS, L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
FIRST CITY TOWER			STEITZ, RACHEL RUNNING	
1001 FANNIN STREET, SUITE 2500 HOUSTON, TX 77002-6760			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/20/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

IPTLdocket@velaw.com bmelder@velaw.com dwilcox@velaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/821,109	CAFARO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	RACHEL R. STEITZ	3732
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re	ATION. ply be timely filed
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ute, cause the application to become ABA	NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) The 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matte	-
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 3,5,6 and 11-13 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3,5,6,11-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	ccepted or b) objected to be ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apiority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application _·

10/821,109 Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 3, line 1, "the device" lacks a prior antecedent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 5, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leung (United States Patent Publication No. 2003/0052115) in view of Nakagawa et al. (United States Patent Publication No. 2002/0189128) and Lo (US 2003/0071027).

Leung discloses a hair styling device that heats hair by conduction of heat from a heated surface (see para 0028) and includes a fan and a motor. The device further comprises a handle portion 100, a barrel portion 300 adjoining the handle portion, a heater 216 contained in the barrel portion, a flipper 303 mechanically linked to a flipper

actuator, air inlets in the housing, an air guide 119 for directing air into the barrel; ad outlet holes 307 formed in the barrel. Leung does not disclose the ion generator or the device being a flat straightner. Nakagawa et al. disclose a hair styling appliance having an ion generator system 62. The ion generator system comprises an anode pin and a cathode ring. The device further includes an indicator LED 9 for the ion generator. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the curling iron of Leung with an ion generator system in view of Nakagawa et al. in order to treat the hair and make it smooth and silky. Lo teaches a curling iron and a straightner (see Figures 4-7). It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Leung by having the device be a flat straightner as shown in Lo, in order to allow the user multiple functions to straightner the user's hair.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RACHEL R. STEITZ whose telephone number is (571)272-1917. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez can be reached on (571) 272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/821,109

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Rachel Running Steitz/ Examiner Art Unit 3732

4/7/2010